This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,699	06/24/2001	Elias Bergan	CH920000036US1(590.060)	8705
35195	7590 08/31/2004		EXAMI	NER
FERENCE &	ASSOCIATES	BELL, M	BELL, MELTIN	
400 BROAD STREET			*	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	2121	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A disease with				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/888,699	BERGAN ET AL.			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Meltin Bell	2121			
Period for Reply	bars on the cover sheet w	un me correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON b, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED(35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	n)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	∆ □ 1	Commons (PTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/12/04. 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to application **09/888,699** filed 06/24/2001 as well as the Information Disclosure Statement, Specification Corrections and Amendment filed 5/12/04. Claims 1-36 filed by the applicant have been entered and examined. An action on the merits of claims 1-36 appears below.

Priority

Applicant is advised of possible benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), wherein an application for patent filed in the United States may be entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a prior application filed in a foreign country.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on application 00113438.6 filed in Europe on **6/24/00**.

Claim Interpretation

Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. > E-Pass Techs., Inc. v. 3Com Corp., 343 F.3d 1364, 1369, 67 USPQ2d 1947, 1950 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (claims must be interpreted "in view of the specification" without importing limitations from the specification into the claims unnecessarily).< In re

Art Unit: 2121

Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969). See also In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("During patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow.... The reason is simply that during patent prosecution when claims can be amended, ambiguities should be recognized, scope and breadth of language explored, and clarification imposed.... An essential purpose of patent examination is to fashion claims that are precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. Only in this way can uncertainties of claim scope be removed, as much as possible, during the administrative process.").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claims (e.g. "network", "objects", "pointers", "units", "modules", "element", "connection") raise a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. For example, if claim 1 was amended to recite a computer-implemented method, it will be statutory in

Art Unit: 2121

most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANTS' AMENDMENT REMARKS

Priority

Applicant(s) argue(s) that the differences set forth in the prior office action, such as the shading of item 43.2 in Fig. 4F, are of no moment (Amendment REMARKS page 14, paragraph 2).

Given the clarification that network elements can have more than one representation (Amendment REMARKS page 15, paragraph 1), Applicant's arguments are persuasive.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 5/12/04 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because of missing or inaccurate information in the listing:

- An English translation of the Schult reference
- The DE 1990082041.9 reference

It has been placed in the application file. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements

Art Unit: 2121

based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Drawings

Applicant(s) argue(s) that the drawings objections are obviated by a review of the application (Amendment REMARKS page 15, paragraph 1).

Applicant's arguments are persuasive and the objections are withdrawn.

Specification

Applicant(s) argue(s) that the specification has been amended to correct a number of the asserted informalities and that the language on page 11, line 7 is appropriate (Amendment REMARKS page 15, paragraph 2).

Amendments to the specification (page 18, line 5, page 20, line 15, page 21, line 4, page 22, line 9, page 34, lines 4-8) have been entered and examined. Applicant's arguments are persuasive and the remaining specification objections are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph and 35 USC § 101

Applicant(s) requests clarification on the 35 USC 101 and 112, first paragraph rejections of the prior office action (Amendment REMARKS page 16, paragraph 1).

Clarification is given above for the 35 USC 101 rejections. The 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejections are withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103

The rejections of the claims under 35 USC 102 and 103 are withdrawn.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Oatman et al; USPN 5,995,955; "System and method for expert system analysis using quiescent and parallel reasoning and set structured knowledge representation"
- Roche et al; USPN 5,535,121; "System for correcting auxiliary verb sequences"

Art Unit: 2121

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to Meltin Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-3680. This Examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 7:30 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach this Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor, Anthony Knight, can be reached on 571-272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MB JOH . W.

Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600